Review Criteria – 2023 ACHNE APHN Joint Conference

Each conference abstract is peer-reviewed.   As a reviewer, you will rate each abstract according to each criterion below along the following scale:

0 = not apparent	1 = weak	2 =moderate	3 = strong

You will be asked if you have a conflict of interest as the first question for each abstract you review.
Checking the Conflict of Interest box will recuse you from reviewing the abstract.

Criterion:
- **Relevance to conference theme:** *Stronger Together – Bridging Academia & Practice*
  - Addresses **at least** one of the conference objectives:
    - Identify and build upon emerging opportunities for **academic-practice partnerships** to transform PHN practice for the 21st century;
    - Highlight new insights supporting **innovation in PHN** practice, education, scholarship, and policy to advance health equity;
    - Identify and build **interprofessional scholarship** interests between PHN educators and practitioners;
    - Explore emerging trends in **PHN preparedness** for and response to public health emergencies and disasters;
    - Describe collaborative approaches supporting PHN transition **from training to practice**;
    - Explore strategies to support the **recruitment, retention, and resilience** of a diverse PHN workforce;
    - Explore approaches to **increase capacity of the PHN workforce** to assure foundational public health services and capabilities;
    - Explore methods to demonstrate the **value of PHNs** (e.g. establish nurse sensitive indicators for community/public/population health nursing);
    - Identify **experiential and educational preparation** for faculty teaching clinical and didactic components of the AACN competencies focused on community/public/population health nursing at the basic and advanced levels.
- **Significance to community/public/population health nursing education, research, and/or practice**
  - Of interest to all audiences?
  - Implications stated or suggested?
  - If rating for significant is "0" or "1", the rest of the criteria will be rated a "0"

- **Quality of description of subject matter**
  - Well written and organized?
  - Style and appearance adequate?
  - Formatted to include the following sections (for research study abstracts)?
    - Introduction
    - Aims
    - Methods
    - Results
    - Conclusion

- **Clarity and cohesiveness of abstract**
  - Rationale sound?
  - Conclusions logical?

- **Creativity of approach / Originality of subject**
  - Novelty of concept or application?

- **Presentation method**
  - Please select the method of presentation you feel best suits each abstract.
  - Authors have provided their preferred method. You may choose a different format if you feel it is merited.
  - Select "Not Suitable for Presentation" if you feel this abstract should be rejected.